

Highbury and Fisherton Schools consultation responses, December 2006

WRITTEN RESPONSES

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
1	Mrs E Thomson	Support	I would like to in general support the plans for the new Highbury Primary School and re-development of the site. However, I feel it is essential that pre school provision be made on this site. This area desperately needs a new purpose built pre school facility and the re-development of Highbury Primary School provides an excellent opportunity for this to be achieved. Whilst it is important to preserve playing fields, I feel sacrificing a small area for a pre school building would be a real asset to the community. Please include space for Busy Bees Preschool on the plans. Please give our pre school children the chance of a safe and suitable building with adequate outdoor play facilities. Please provide a school site that serves the needs of the community. Our community needs a suitable preschool building.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
2	Mrs T Roberts	Object	The general layout of the very large area appears fine to me; my main concerns, being a Highbury resident, are the look of the new houses, which presumably will be the subject of the final application, and parking, both for residents and school parents. At the consultation we were told that dropping off would be discouraged in the new housing area. School start and end time can be extremely busy in Highbury Avenue, and it would be useful to have a drop-off point perhaps taken out of the new housing area allocation on Highbury Avenue itself to relieve the pressure. I am thinking of the drop off area at St. Mark's school in Salisbury, or even on Downton Road in Harnham, opposite the Park & Ride car park, where cars can park off road.	A school drop-off point would encourage parents to drive their children to school, thereby increasing traffic movements on Highbury Avenue. The layout of the housing would be such as to deter parking by parents dropping off children.	None
3	A Barnett	Support	A new modern school is vital for the local community. It will also attract more pupils who will then see it as a solid and safe school. The existing Highbury School, although adequate, is very dated and with the abolition of Fisherton Manor, it is even more important to have a modern school. My eldest daughter is just starting her final year at Highbury but has spent the last two years in one of the huts. She is away from the main school and its amenities. My youngest daughter has a few years left and I would hate for her to be isolated in one of the huts. I strongly feel that the new school is needed at the earliest opportunity.	Agree	None
4	J Davies	Support	The concept looks excellent! I like the pupil/parent access	Agree. The concept statement includes a	Clarification

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			through the residential area. I would like to see a staff/deliveries access via Wilton Road and the courts site to further ensure the children's safety. Care must be taken when the construction and demolition work is being carried out at the Fisherton Manor/Highbury site once the children are in the new site, particularly with access for the builders/demolishers and the children's safety.	provision for staff/delivery access from Wilton Road.	required in the text with respect to impacts of demolition and construction.
5	C Wells	Support	Great site – it will be so good to have green space around our school instead of just concrete! Games lessons will be more successful with outdoor space – walking down to the field from the present site with a class and equipment is not good. New, modern school needed desperately.	Agree	None
6	O Alexander	Object	1) The footpath that goes through Highfield Road - The parking is already a problem on Highfield Road. People who are going to live in Area A may use Highfield Road for extra parking. Increased pedestrian traffic will increase litter on the road, which has no litterbins in place and is only cleansed when a complaint is made directly to the council.	The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements. Litterbins could be provided as part of the new scheme but this is a matter to be considered at detailed planning application stage.	None
			2) Area C woodland - Woodlands provide a habitat for a huge number of different species. The plans say that "future management of this woodland area will be considered", considered for what? How will damage to animal and plant life be kept to a minimum?	Area C is proposed to accommodate a bat house. No other development is being considered for the area, although there is the possibility of providing public access to the site linked to future residential development at the Old Manor Hospital. The overriding aim will be to conserve the woodland environment. Area C will be securely fenced from Area B to ensure children are unable to gain unsupervised access to the Bat House. Supervised access for school children may be permitted, providing this has no adverse impact on bat roosting habits. The bat house is within the bats territory and hence will be found and used by them once the current buildings have been demolished. Demolition will occur in their absence. Management of these will need to accord with the conditions imposed by the DEFRA Licence.	Clarification required in the text with respect to impacts of demolition, construction, and management arrangements.

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			<p>3) Social housing - what is the percentage of social housing and where will it be?</p>	<p>Affordable housing will be provided in accordance with Local Plan policy. The Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG, Sept 2004) for affordable housing considers a minimum of 25% to be the basic requirement on sites of more than 1 hectare or when more than 25 dwellings are to be provided. The Council may negotiate for proportions up to 40% on qualifying development sites, however the level will need to reflect the financial balance that needs to be struck in terms of funding the new school. The location of affordable housing units on site will be determined at detailed planning application stage.</p>	<p>Greater reference to Affordable Housing SPG made in text.</p>
			<p>4) The Bat House - the house is nowhere near to where the bats roost at the moment. How will the bats be encouraged to move to the new location?</p>	<p>The bat house is within the bats territory and hence will be found and used by them once the current buildings have been demolished. All works will be undertaken in accordance with DEFRA guidelines.</p>	<p>Reference inserted into text on this point.</p>
			<p>5) What will the work hours be on the building and demolition? What time will work staff start and finish? How long will it all take?</p>	<p>Hours of operations for demolition will be designed to minimise impacts on adjoining uses and residential amenity. Construction working will be controlled by conditions on the planning permission that must be obtained before development can commence. It is not possible to provide a figure for the duration of possible works at this stage.</p>	<p>Clarification required in the text with respect to impacts of demolition, construction, and management arrangements.</p>
7	D Hosking	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positioning of school very unobtrusive on the edge of the grassed area well away from the court buildings. • Use of school facilities for other Community • Excellent idea for pedestrian access on Highbury Avenue – cuts down traffic congestion for local residents – hopefully encouraging a new walk to school generation. • Small amount of homes planned for site – no overcrowding as is usual on these types of sites. • I am fully in favour of the proposed plan as it stands. Clarification needed on Wilton Road entrance. 	Agree	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
8	V Bushrod	Object	The building of a new school is <u>long</u> overdue. I feel sorry for the teachers and pupils having to 'make do' while other 'new' primary schools have had money thrown at them.	None	None
			I like the idea of <u>school on field</u> .	None	None
			Will the number of pupil places be enough with proposed housing on two sides of site?	None	None
			No mention of accommodation for Busy Bees playgroup to relocate to this site?	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Statement to show potential location.
			Lovely for school/environment - concern public access could make it dog toilet paradise with new housing estate.	Pedestrian access to the school site will only be allowed by prior arrangement for use of the sports facilities out of school hours. There will be no access for dog walkers. A Brief note of this point is made within the Statement.	None
			6) Access – Highbury Avenue/Highbury Close is a pedestrian nightmare (and for residents, whose access to their drives etc. are blocked at school times). With additional housing, children, cars the nightmare could get worse. As a registered childminder often with double buggy and children walking, I am concerned at the safety aspect and how easy a slope there will be to school as existing path is awful and I do not use it with double buggy now – but that is my choice as it is only for 'sports days' etc. When I have to bring and pick up children every day I hope it will be an 'easy' walk. The idea that making it 'harder' to park will persuade drivers to walk sounds wonderful but it will not work. Roads in the new housing development will be clogged with cars, dropped curbs blocked creating a safety problem unless robust measures are set in place. With 'local' knowledge I would not buy on either site because of 'parking issues' at school times.	The new residential development will introduce provisions to ensure child and parent safety whilst accessing the school. This includes a separate pedestrian/cycle route from Highbury Avenue into the site and ensuring that clear routes through the residential area are provided.	Clarification in text required to explain provisions for child and parent safety whilst accessing the school site
9	C Hughes	Support	This development should proceed without delay. A good location with appropriate access. Safer and healthier play areas for the pupils. An excellent concept to have pedestrian access via Highbury Avenue. The aspect of secure entry (for staff vehicles) presents less opportunity for potential accidents or vandalism. The facilities on offer to all pupils can only enhance their learning and future potential. These youngsters and this community are in dire need of this new school with minimum delay!	Agree	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
10	J Fielding	Support	Great site – it will be so good to have green space around our school instead of just concrete! Games lessons will be more successful with outdoor space – walking down to the field from the present site with a class and equipment is not good!! Parking and access are much improved. New, modern building needed desperately. We need a new school as soon as possible!	Agree	None
11	A Pike	Support	The location for the new school is ideal and the access from Highbury Avenue and Wilton Road is appropriate. The outside areas planned around the classrooms are flat and secure and will provide positive outdoor learning opportunities for the children. The government is really promoting outdoor learning for primary children as it motivates different types of learners and encourages a healthy, active lifestyle. At present, the outside areas of the school are inadequate. Slopes and concrete mean that the outside area is often not safe enough for certain activities and the children have to miss out. Wheelchair access and access for young children at present is insufficient; and indoors long corridors and steps add to this problem. Masonry and glazing at the present site is worn and often needs repairing which is expensive. The new site needs to be ready for 2008 in order for children to learn in the safe, secure, up to date environment they need.	Agree. It is anticipated that, subject to the necessary consents, construction of the school could commence in summer 2007 and the school could be operational in September 2008.	None
12	L Lane	Support	This concept seems to be a very good use of the land. The new school would be sited in a good position of the field. Access to parents would remain good.	Agree	None
13	SM Parsbey	Object	Area A. I am in favour of affordable housing but not up to 68 dwellings, I feel this would be too congested and would prefer to see less of Area A for residential and more space given for the new school (more playing area).	The proposed residential density is within the range of 30-50 dwellings per hectare advocated by government planning guidance and reflected in the adopted Local Plan. The amount of open space to be provided for the use of the new school will meet the required standards.	None
			I am in favour of provision for Area B out of hours community use, (family friendly) maybe CCTV camera (there is a tendency for some people to gather for drinking alcohol and other antisocial behaviour). I feel the security boundary fence is very necessary in this present age.	None	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			Area C. I am in favour of this existing woodland for public access and for use by the community. I feel this also would be an environment for all ages to enjoy and much needed for the area. I would like to see this become a safe place for the young an old and families alike to enjoy their leisure time.	Pedestrian access to the school site will only be allowed by prior arrangement for use of the sports facilities out of school hours. A Brief note of this point is made within the Statement.	None
			Area D. No comments.	None	None
14	J Timlett	Support	It would be amazing to have a high quality purpose built school that would meet the teaching and learning needs of the community. The present building is inadequate for its use. The proposed new school access will be outstanding for pedestrians and vehicles and considerably improve safety. For every child to be given the best opportunities in life a new school is vital and as quickly as possible. Staff and parents support an inadequate building well but you cannot expect this level of support to 2010 if the plans do not go ahead until then. If every child matters this community needs a new school now!	Salisbury District Council is committed to upholding the highest quality of design in all development. More detail on this commitment is set out in the Creating Places design guide.	None
15	C Peach	Support	The present school is old and antiquated. The playground is extremely unsuitable for children due to the steep slope and its size. The current building is not a particularly pleasant place as a learning environment – its sprawling layout, horrible pupil and staff lavatories, antiquated plumbing and heating system etc. is not ideal as a place for children and disabled access is very limited. The drawing of the plan looks to be a vast improvement. Access appears to be very “workable” and the plan does not seem to have a detrimental effect on Highbury Avenue and its residential community. All in all I would agree with the concept statement wholeheartedly.	Agree	None
16	S Farmer	Support	Location is ideal. Easy access. Playgrounds at present unsuitable. The thought of playing on grass sounds wonderful – not so many casualties. Layout of new school will be more appropriate for a learning environment. The planned access for pedestrians through Highbury Avenue seems ideal. The planned development should proceed in 2008 not 2010. As a school the staff and children are really looking forward to a new school.	Agree. It is anticipated that subject to the necessary consents construction of the school could commence in summer 2007 and the school could be operational in September 2008.	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			In the plans there is no mention of a playgroup Busy Bees. As a school we would benefit a play group (nursery) attached.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
17	L Warren	Support	The location for the new school is ideal with good access. It will provide a high quality environment for children for many years to come. It will provide good, safe, outdoor facilities which the current school seriously lacks. It will promote a stimulating learning environment for children and for the community as a whole. Currently the school does not allow for expansion and the site freed up will provide vital community housing and the demolition of the former middle school will improve the area as it is currently an eyesore and attracts vandals. The planners development should proceed without delay and hopefully be in place for 2008.	Agree. Salisbury District Council is committed to upholding the highest quality of design in all development. More detail on this commitment is set out in the Creating Places design guide.	None
18	T Tessier	Support	Highbury Primary School is in need of a new building. The present school does not allow the students to access the full curriculum. It is vital the planning for new housing for the old school site and Pembroke Park is such that permission is granted to fund the new school. Keen to see several pavement access sites and wonder if children living off the Wilton Road could walk to school without having to enter Highbury Avenue. This could prevent some having to be driven to school! Fisherton Manor School is a hazard – vandalism.	Arrangements for creating pedestrian access to the school from Wilton Road were considered as part of one of the earlier options for developing the site. Comments received through the public consultation process generally expressed that the road is too busy and objected to young children being encouraged to use it at peak times. The potential for adding to existing congestion problems on the road was also identified as an issue.	None
19	W Andrews	Object	The new school is so much needed. There isn't any mention of the preschool (Busy Bees). They provide a Breakfast Club at Highbury – I can not believe they do not feature on the plans. Save Busy Bees!!	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend statement to show potential location.
20	I Davenport	Support	Good location, good access to outside play areas, plenty of grassed areas, safe access to school, good PE facilities especially for older/upper KS2, pleasant environment for learning, secure boundary fence. The current school lacks a safe outside play area – high number of accidents due to slope of playground, no grassed areas on immediate site, immediate outside areas are dull and difficult to develop into interesting play areas.	Agree	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
21	T Pike	Object	I strongly believe that adequate provision is made from 'SAFE" pedestrian access from Highbury Avenue – a lot of parents walk their children to school if we are to go through a Residential areas – perhaps the concept of an individual walkway be given to the school (it is not clear on the proposal).	The new residential development will introduce provisions to ensure child and parent safety whilst accessing the school. This includes a separate pedestrian/cycle route from Highbury Avenue into the site and ensuring that clear routes through the residential area are provided.	Clarification in text required explaining provisions for child and parent safety whilst accessing the school site.
			I would like to have an area of natural reserve (by the Bat Tower) to enhance all pupils learning of the environment and nature around them.	The overriding aim will be to conserve the woodland environment. Area C will be securely fenced from Area B to ensure children are unable to gain unsupervised access to the Bat House. Supervised access for school children may be permitted, providing this has no adverse impact on bat roosting habits.	Clarify potential for controlled access to bat house and woodland area from school.
			We would like to see the school built for Sept 2008.	It is anticipated that subject to the necessary consents construction of the school could commence in summer 2007 and the school could be operational in September 2008.	None
22	R Draper	Object	The school site looks good and a new school is desperately needed now! But where is the preschool? (Busy Bees) Childcare is hard enough to find as it is – are you really going to let a large quality preschool close when this is an ideal opportunity to put them along side the school! The area is larger than required for the new school and is ideal to link Busy Bees to the school. They already provide a breakfast club at Highbury and if on site they will be able to offer further services such as after-school clubs and holiday clubs.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
23	W Jackson	Support	The current site does not promote a successful learning environment – the layout is not beneficial for today's learning needs. A new purpose build school would create a positive learning environment with a safe, accessible location. If as the government says – every child matters - then the area in which the child learns should also matter. A new school would provide a wealth of opportunities inside and outside of the school – especially for physical education – our current playground cannot be used for this. This community needs a new school now!	Agree	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
24	L Russell	Object	<p>Due to close proximity of both schools to our property (we have a large adjoining boundary), we have the following concerns/issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity of proposed development to our boundary – we would be concerned if there were going to be numerous properties encroaching on our boundary. • Height of planned buildings – we would be concerned if building were higher than those currently on the site. • Overlooking windows onto our home an garden as currently there are no windows overlooking our plot <p>• Proportion and location of social housing within the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Securing of sites during and after demolition - as have had problems on sites with youths. • Time between demolition and site development – will be an eyesore as the rear of our house and garden overlooks it. • Contamination or our property from asbestos and other hazardous substances from demolition of existing buildings. 	<p>The aim of the document is to establish a framework for development principles on the site. Detailed design issues have not been considered and will be addressed through the planning application process. Proposals will be expected to accord with policy prescriptions in the Salisbury District Local Plan. The document proposes a maximum height of three storeys for new housing. Development will be required to respect existing adjoining uses in accordance with Salisbury District's Local Plan.</p> <p>Affordable housing will be provided in accordance with Local Plan policy. The Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG, Sept 2004) for affordable housing considers a minimum of 25% to be the basic requirement on sites of more than 1 hectare or when more than 25 dwellings are to be provided. The Council may negotiate for proportions up to 40% on qualifying development sites, however the level will need to reflect the financial balance that needs to be struck in terms of funding the new school. The location of affordable housing units on site will be determined at detailed planning application stage.</p> <p>The site will be secured during and after demolition to stop trespassing. Hours of operations for demolition will be designed to minimise impacts on adjoining uses and residential amenity. Construction working will be controlled by conditions on the planning permission that must be obtained before development can commence. Suitable measures will be put in place to ensure safe removal of hazardous substances and that no contamination occurs on adjoining sites.</p>	<p>None</p> <p>Clarify policy position regarding affordable housing contributions expected on the site.</p> <p>Clarification required in the text in respect to impacts of demolition and construction.</p>

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition, prior to purchasing our house in October 2004, our solicitor spoke to WCC Council on our request regarding possible redevelopment of the school site, we were informed that there were no plans, however at meeting we heard from councillor and headmistress of school that this redevelopment had been in the offing for circa 5 years. 	Not appropriate to comment here on any comments previously made by WCC or the headmistress. However, in 2004 no planning applications or development briefs had been submitted to or developed in conjunction with Salisbury District Council.	None
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We are concerned that unsympathetic redevelopment of the school site would significantly devalue our property. 	Agree that redevelopment of the school site must take place in a sympathetic manner and to high standards.	None
25	J Wilkinson	Object	<p>Given you recent policy of riding rough shod over the views of the parents and residents involved in the Highbury Scheme, it is clear that your money-making plans will go ahead exactly as you want them to with no regard for local opinion so I'm not sure there is any point in making any comments. However, I suppose it will make me feel a little better to point out that we're not stupid and do know what you are doing. Obviously it was necessary in order for your scheme to go ahead to ignore the views of the majority of parents opposed to the abolition of the middle school system and now you are doing the same with the siting of the new school. As the draft document has pointed out, the option preferred by most of the interested parties was Concept 1 but, hey, let's plough ahead anyway with Concept 2. No surprise, of course, as we all know the plan is to sell off as much public property as possible to try and fulfil your housing commitments and raise money for your coffers whilst pretending that it is all for the good of our children and the community. Fisherton Manor is a lovely building with a lot of history which could have been adapted quite easily thus retaining the whole of the playing field and allowing the Highbury First site to be developed in order to pay for it. It's only the fact that you've come up against bats (ha ha) in Fisherton Manor that we're not looking at a pile of dust already. Under the current scheme I would be very interested to hear just how much of the money raised from selling off Pembroke Park, Fisherton Mill and Highbury First goes towards the new school and where the rest goes. When the plans are fulfilled, i.e. when you have reduced the size of the playing fields considerable by putting the school there and when you have crammed in as many houses as you can into the empty site, I would appreciate it if you would not put a three storey flat right at the back of my garden as the last</p>	<p>The current school building's design and layout are inadequate to meet modern schooling needs. The conversion and refurbishment costs would be considerable, and the buildings, location of playing fields and access would still fall short of modern standards.</p> <p>The document proposes a maximum height of three storeys for new housing. Development will be required to respect existing adjoining uses in accordance with policies contained in Salisbury District's Local Plan.</p>	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			<p>boundary line I saw for you housing estate looked like it was inches away from my back wall (I live at no 24 Highbury Avenue).</p> <p>Regarding traffic calming measures on Highbury Avenue dismissed because of the fire engine route, I am astounded that you would let fire engines hurtle down the road with a First School in the road in the first place and how do you explain the traffic calming measures installed at the top and the foot of Ashley Road were the Fire Station is sited.</p>		
26	P. Tgrie	Object	<p>As regards the schools next door and the plans you for it I though up the subject about traffic, is it a very busy road? Plus the fact that it is the fire engine and police car route. Where will all the traffic go? I was told the school would not be used for anything else.</p>	<p>The new housing is not expected to have a significant effect on traffic at school times, since peak traffic hours for residential areas normally occur between 6.30am and 8.30am and 4pm and 6pm. The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements, which will avoid adding to parking congestion experienced on Highbury Avenue.</p>	None
27	JM Harvey	Object	<p>Where is the parking area for the school staff? Pupils will have a long way to walk to school when dropped off by taxis, who will escort them?</p> <p>Glad to learn of the 'Bat House'. Cheers!!</p>	<p>The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements. Taxis bringing children with special needs to school will be able to use the staff access to drop off at the school building.</p>	Indicate staff car parking area on Concept Plan
28	AJ Pibworth	Unclear/ not stated	<p>The two main concerns that I have remain outstanding; these being parking and the potential loss of views from my property. Despite having sight of the plans on your website, there is no indication as to the number of parking spaces that will be allocated to the new residents of the old school site. In addition, it is not clear how school parents will be able to drop off their children – will they be forced off Highbury Avenue into the new development or continue to drop off and pick up as is currently the case by utilising Highbury Avenue? In addition, from a personal perspective, I currently enjoy uninterrupted views towards Salisbury Cathedral and beyond from all windows at the front of my house. It is not clear from your plans whether a potential 3-storey building will impact on these views.</p>	<p>The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements. As the plans are at a very general conceptual level, details of any effect that development on the site might have upon views are indeed not covered. Such issues would be covered within any planning application at which point the views of neighbours and other members of the public would be invited.</p>	None.

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
29	J Wright	Unclear/ not stated	Urgent thought must be given to accommodation for single people young and old possibly in short terraces, we do not need 68 3-up and 3-down houses squeezed onto the site especially as many do not like or require gardens and would rather have a small paved terrace/balcony for outdoor living.	It is agreed that there is considerable need locally for smaller and more affordable units of housing. This will be provided on the site in accordance with Local Plan policy and recently completed housing market assessment.	Clarify policy regarding affordable housing expected on the site.
			Trees must be retained for communal areas and to absorb carbon monoxide fumes. Some have already been needlessly cut down. We look forward to inspecting models and elevations of any designs. It will be the responsibility of the County and District Councils to ensure the buildings are well constructed and designed for the use of present and future generations. The houses should have pitched roofs of slate or tile (use some of the old school pantiles) to flow with the surrounding dwellings.	Salisbury District Council is committed to upholding the highest quality of design in all development, albeit without wishing to be prescriptive. More detail on this commitment is set out in the 'Creating Places' design guide, which covers issues such as the retention where possible of beneficial existing features such as trees, and the re-use of materials.	Make reference in concept statement to Council design guidance
30	G Anlezark	Support	<p>I have been unable to visit the exhibition and therefore I am unsure about the differences between Concepts 1 and 2, which are not described in the Concept Statement. I do not object to the proposals as described, but my submission is more in the nature of comments on some aspects rather than wholehearted support or objection. The proposals for housing based on the principles of a Home Zone seem excellent and this design should be kept in mind throughout the planning process and not lost at some later stage as so often happens. Home zones give priority to pedestrians, disabled people and cyclists over motorised vehicles. It is somewhat difficult to reconcile this with the desire of one Highbury Avenue resident for the houses to be provided with 2 parking spaces each! It would be great if the home zone concept could be extended to Highbury Avenue, since there is an opportunity for street redesign as a whole for the area under discussion. It seems hard to believe that the road is a preferred route for emergency services, obstructed as it is by cars parked on both sides, although these do act partially as unofficial traffic calming.</p> <p>Every effort needs to be made to restrict the ability of parents to bring children to the school by car. This will alleviate many of the concerns of the residents. No drop-off area should be provided at the school as this will only encourage parents to do this. The only exceptions should be for disabled parents or children, and deliveries.</p>	A school drop-off point would encourage parents to drive their children to school, thereby increasing traffic movements on Highbury Avenue. The layout of the housing would be such as to deter parking by parents dropping off children. The new housing is not expected to have a significant effect on traffic at school times, since peak traffic hours for residential areas normally occur between 6.30am and 8.30am and 4pm and 6pm. The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements, which will avoid adding to parking congestion experienced on Highbury Avenue.	None

No.	Name	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
			Construction of safe pedestrian/cycle access to the school is a priority and needs careful consideration. How are children and parents to access Highbury Avenue from Wilton Road or Devizes Road safely? Unless this is considered we will have the construction of yet another cycle way that ends suddenly just where it is needed, ie at the main roads. Have SDC consulted Sustrans on design of safe routes to school?	The new residential development will introduce provisions to ensure child and parent safety whilst accessing the school. This includes a separate pedestrian/cycle route from Highbury Avenue into the site and ensuring that clear routes through the residential area are provided.	Clarification in text required to explain provisions for child and parent safety whilst accessing the school site
31	RP Morris	Object	Objecting to the proposal for additional vehicular access via Highbury Avenue. Highbury Avenue is not adequate for existing traffic. Vehicular access to area 'A' residential development must be from Area 'E' or 'F' direct from Wilton Road. The existing status quo must not be made worse by the additional traffic or residential development. Ideally a new road connecting to Bedford Road from Wilton Road needs constructing.	A school drop-off point would encourage parents to drive their children to school, thereby increasing traffic movements on Highbury Avenue. The layout of the housing would be such as to deter parking by parents dropping off children. The new housing is not expected to have a significant effect on traffic at school times, since peak traffic hours for residential areas normally occur between 6.30am and 8.30am and 4pm and 6pm. The new residential site will provide adequate parking provision in accordance with Local Plan requirements, which will avoid adding to parking congestion experienced on Highbury Avenue.	None

POST-IT NOTE RESPONSES PROVIDED ON THE DAY OF THE EXHIBITIONS

Number	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
1.	Support	I would like to see a preschool attached/associated to the school.	The proposed residential density is within the range of 30-50 dwellings per hectare advocated by government planning guidance and reflected in the adopted Local Plan. The amount of open space to be provided for the use of the new school will meet the required standards.	None
2.	Object	No preschool on site? Busy Bees currently un a community preschool with close links with Highbury. With early intervention a great part of education the school will need a preschool on site.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
3.	Support	This looks excellent. We would like	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has	Amend

Number	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
		to see a preschool venue also made available.	been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
4.	Support	I think it will be good to go straight out to the school field and I would like to have a flat playground.	Agree	None required
5.	N/A	I would like my children to benefit from the home cooked healthy school meals.	None	None required
6.	Object	Looks great for Highbury. What about Busy Bees? We will soon be closed with a loss to the community of 11,000 childcare spaces.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
7.	N/A	Will there be a climbing frame?	Such detailed matters have not been considered and will be addressed through the planning application process.	None required
8.	N/A	When!	It is anticipated that subject to the necessary consents construction of the school could commence in summer 2007 and the school could be operational in September 2008. Timescales for completing the residential redevelopment are dependent upon the resolution of the bat licensing issue to allow demolition of the buildings, the time it takes to sell the site to a developer, and the developer's own timescales for developing the site.	None required
9.	Support	Good to see that the school boundary will be secure from the courts and associated areas.	Agree	None required
10.	Object	Looks good but where is the preschool?	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.
11.	Object	Smashing – but what about the preschool?	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of

Number	Support / Object	Issues raised	Officer comment	Change made
				Pre-School.
12.	Object	Great idea – but where’s the preschool – Busy Bees – a vital part of the local community.	The possibility of accommodating a pre-school on site has been considered. A location has been found and provision is subject to Busy Bees obtaining funding.	Amend Concept Statement to show potential location of Pre-School.